



Subacute Care Bulletin

Nov./Dec. '98

3

**Study Uses HCFA Data
to Show Flaws in SNF
PPS Regs**

4

**Docs Support
Respiratory Therapists**

**FSRC Takes Proactive
Approach to SNF PPS in
Florida**

5

**HCFA Works on MDS for
Post Acute Care**

**Specialty Practitioner of
the Year:
Joseph Bernardo,
RRT, RPFT, NHA**

**New Product
Suggestions**

Notes from the Chair Elect & Editor

by Becky Mabry, RRT

Most respiratory therapists providing therapeutic services to patients in subacute and skilled nursing facilities are now facing serious challenges to their ability to provide effective and necessary services to these patients. This is particularly true for those who provide services to the many elderly patients who depend on Medicare for their health care coverage. The recent implementation of the interim Prospective Payment System (PPS) for Medicare Part A SNF services has left many of these patients without adequate coverage for many essential and necessary respiratory therapy services.

In the last issue of the *Bulletin*, the AARC urged all subacute care practitioners to write a letter to their US Senators urging them to pass emergency legislation requiring HCFA to provide the non-therapy ancillaries, including respiratory therapy, a temporary exemption in the PPS payment. The Senate has taken an active lead in pursuing this issue. It is absolutely essential that they receive information from respiratory therapists on the ramifications of PPS.

Specifically, they need to know that respiratory therapists have pioneered effective and important multidisciplinary approaches to patient care in SNFs and subacute care units across the US. In doing so they have improved patient

outcomes and provided high quality patient care to many elderly and medically fragile patients. Many of the patients, especially the ventilator dependent or difficult to wean, are patients whose potential for rehabilitation may not have been recognized and addressed in a busy and crowded ICU. Coordination of rehabilitative services from respiratory therapy, speech, and physical therapy, along with active patient education, has allowed the patients to make significant strides toward ever greater degrees of functional independence, resulting in lower acuity and a reduced utilization of medical services. This lowers overall patient care costs, while ensuring an overall improvement in the patient's quality of life.

Diane Saunders, a respiratory therapist in Wisconsin, has been kind enough to share with us a letter she wrote to her Senator. I think it sums up many of the issues wrought by the new PPS. I urge you to review Diane's letter, think about your own experience and take an active role in this lobbying effort. Write your Senators and express your concerns over the current PPS. Remind them that without emergency action many elderly patients will be at risk and may be forced back into higher cost acute care settings. ■

From a Letter to Senator Russ Feingold

by Diane Saunders, RRT

Dear Senator Feingold,

I am writing concerning components of the regulations that the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) has enacted regarding the interim Prospective Payment System (PPS) for Medicare Part A Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) services.

I am a respiratory therapist (RT) in Wisconsin working with patients in

SNFs throughout southern Wisconsin. Until recently (July 1, 1998), HCFA required RTs to be "hospital-based" to be reimbursed for services they provided to Medicare recipients in SNFs. In other words, we had to be employees of a "transfer hospital" that had entered into a "transfer hospital" agreement

"Letter to Senator" continued on page

the SNF(s) before we could provide respiratory therapy services to these patients.

Since that requirement has now been ended by HCFA, my "transfer hospital" has decided not to continue its respiratory therapy outreach program, effective December 31, 1998, the day before it takes effect for most SNFs in the industry. The third party management company I work through has decided not to art its own program.

Much of this letter relates to the Resource Utilization Group (RUGS III) categorization scheme devised by HCFA, Minimum Data Set (MDS 2.0), and Resident Assessment Instrument (I) manual, which describes how residents of SNFs are to be assessed by the nursing staffs of SNFs, thereby establishing the patient's RUGS reimbursement category.

Subacute Care Bulletin

is published by the
**American Association
for Respiratory Care**
11030 Ables Lane
Dallas, TX 75229-4593
(972) 243-2272
FAX (972) 484-2720
e-mail: info@aarc.org

Kelli Hagen
AARC communications coordinator

Debbie Bunch
Bulletin managing editor

Edwards Printing
Bulletin typesetting

Chair
Kevin Cornish, BS, RR T
(813) 225-4788
FAX (813) 225-4713
e-mail: kevin.cornish@ey.com

Chair-elect and Bulletin Editor

Becky Mabry
23 Baldosa St.
RSM, CA 92688

Voiceicemail (800) 937-3750 x908
Pager (800) 706-1068
FAX (949) 459-0084

Medical Advisor
James K. Stoller, MD
(216) 444-1960
FAX (216) 445-8160

sion of a wide range of therapeutic modalities to SNF Medicare patients who have been diagnosed during their most recent qualifying hospital stay with one or more cardiopulmonary disorders. The nursing staffs of these facilities have come to rely very heavily on our clinical expertise with this patient population, to the extent that we now provide virtually all of the respiratory therapy needs of Medicare patients in these facilities until such time as we determine that their conditions are no longer "acute" or an "exacerbation" of a chronic condition. Once we determine that the acute stage of a disease (e.g., pneumonia or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) has been resolved, we turn the respiratory therapy of these patients over to the nursing staff. Our presence in these SNFs has freed the nursing staffs of these facilities to concentrate on their patients' other, usually more routine care, such as PICC lines, IVs, tube feedings, and, of course, medication delivery, which occupies much of their time.

I have grave concerns about the current provisions for the PPS for Medicare Part A SNF services. HCFA incorrectly accounted for respiratory therapy services as they devised their new system of patient categorization (the RUGS III categories). For payment calculation purposes, HCFA has elected to place respiratory therapy (along with pharmacy, IV therapy, orthotics, and prosthetics, among others) in the non-therapy ancillary category. If these provisions remain in place on January 1, 1999, the respiratory therapy services provided to patients in SNFs around Wisconsin and the rest of the country will, in all likelihood, not be provided by RTs, but by the already over-worked, understaffed nursing staffs of most SNFs. THIS MOST LIKELY WILL RESULT IN A DIMINISHED QUALITY OF CARE PROVIDED TO THESE PATIENTS, because, in addition to what the nursing staffs are already doing, they will also be doing our work.

Respiratory care should never have been included as a "non-therapy ancillary." Rather, it should be recognized in the more appropriate category of "therapy." In the multidisciplinary team meetings that all the therapy services (PT, OT, ST, and RT) attend in the SNFs that my company serves, the rehabilitation medicine doctor who runs the meetings considers respiratory therapy to be an integral, inseparable part of the "rehab" or "therapy" team.

Unfortunately, companies such as the one I currently work for will not maintain respiratory outreach programs if the

being there. As it stands at this moment I will be unemployed on January 1, 1999 for that reason. It may be true that elimination of the transfer hospital agreement by HCFA will free SNFs to hire their own RTs to provide respiratory therapy services to SNF patients. But experience in the SNF setting is that when the census of the Medicare drops below an arbitrary number set by the corporation that owns the SNF — number below which they feel are spending "too much" on the cost of labor in terms of the nurse-to-patient ratio — a corporate-run SNF will send the patient home, leaving the nurse or nurses on the unit to provide for the patients' respiratory therapy needs.

To ensure that patients continue to receive the respiratory therapy services they need, the Senate should request HCFA to create a temporary, 18-month pass-through for the payment of non-therapy ancillary services under SNF PPS. But ultimately, HCFA must rethink its position concerning the legitimacy of respiratory therapists in SNFs. Over the last six to 12 months, we have been systematically limited by HCFA by the Medicare Fiscal Intermediaries as to which modalities (and the frequency and duration of those modalities) we can provide to Medicare residents in SNFs because HCFA does not believe that respiratory therapists have a legitimate role in the provision of care to SNF patients. This tunnel vision has led HCFA to view RTs as hospital clinicians only, with no legitimate role outside the hospital setting. This attitude is only hurting the people we serve.

Do people who leave hospitals to be admitted to SNFs suddenly become "ill" and not in need of specialized care? NO! In fact, because of PPS and DRGs in the hospital setting, patients are routinely discharged by hospitals when they are still very ill. Two of the SNFs I work in routinely admit patients whose "level of acuity" is high, meaning that they may require complex medical management and the services of several disciplines, including nursing, respiratory therapy, physical therapy, occupational therapy, and/or speech therapy as part of their rehabilitation plan of care.

One SNF where I work on a regular basis, for example, frequently admits patients from the University of Wisconsin Hospital & Clinics who have tracheotomy tubes in place because of some respiratory condition. These types of patients frequently require a high-

"Letter to Senator" continued on page 2

l of care, but under PPS they will not receive the specialized care they need from RT. Instead, they will be receiving respiratory therapy from over-worked and understaffed nursing staffs. The whole focus of the multidisciplinary team approach in the SNF setting has been the rehabilitation of the patient so that the person can return to whatever level of functioning he or she was at just prior to the most recent qualifying hospital stay. Under HCFA's PPS provisions, emphasis on that approach fades away, replaced by a categorization scheme that lumps all the person's daily (per diem) needs together into one GS III category upon which the reimbursement rate depends.

What I see happening is the following: frequency and duration of the therapeutic modalities that a patient may

receive, based on the very nature of HCFA's PPS reimbursement scheme, be reduced to that which only minimally affects patient outcomes. Ergo, patients will not receive the care they need to return to their prior level of functioning because each discipline that provides services will, by necessity, have to reduce the frequency and duration of care to account for the fact that its "piece" of the per diem reimbursement "pie" does not adequately cover the necessary services.

An economic study jointly commissioned by the American Association for Respiratory Care (AARC) and the National Subacute Care Association (NSCA) and performed by Don Muse, has documented that the database used by HCFA is flawed, and the only way to have accurate data is to do further analysis and study.

ly those with the highest acuity levels that routinely see, will not receive appropriate reimbursement. The quality of access to, respiratory therapy will be diminished, thus undoubtedly forcing patients to receive care in the higher acute care hospital setting.

Therefore, I urge you to sponsor legislation requiring HCFA to address the problem of concern I have raised in this letter. Without legislation to clarify Congress' intent regarding PPS, HCFA will be forced to finalize the provisions in the interim Prospective Payment System (PPS) Medicare Part A Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) services discussed in this letter. The result will be a significantly reduced quantity of care provided to Medicare patients in SNFs and subacute care facilities. ■

Study Uses HCFA Data to Show Flaws in SNF PPS Regs

A study commissioned by the AARC has shown that flaws exist in the methodology used to construct the new PPS system for SNFs. Muse and Associates, a well-known Washington-based consulting firm, used HCFA data compiled from the 1994 and 1996 Standard Analytical Beneficiary Encrypted Public Use Files to show that serious gaps are inherent in the RUGs III system of reimbursement. Supported by a coalition of long-term and skilled nursing advocacy organizations, the study included all persons who received respiratory therapy services in either an inpatient hospital or SNF setting between 1994 and 1996. Claims for these individuals were extracted and the data used to identify beneficiaries who received intense respiratory therapy utilization in SNFs (defined as \$1,000 in respiratory therapy services). Detailed statistics on the diagnoses, costs, utilization, and demographics of intense users were generated, and all were weighted to the full Medicare beneficiary population.

The purpose of the study was four-fold:

• examine and outline the HCFA methodology regarding respiratory therapy

• determine, with the most recent data, the growth, scope, and extent of respiratory therapy services in Medicare patient settings

• determine if there were significant numbers of Medicare beneficiaries

who were intense users of respiratory therapy services

- to speculate on how these intense users might be better served in the SNF PPS system.

The results clearly show that respiratory patients are being shifted from inpatient hospitals to SNFs in large numbers, and that the PPS system—which was largely based on data collected from nursing homes before this shift took place—did not adequately cover their needs. The study showed that respiratory service charges grew by \$658 million in SNFs and declined in inpatient hospitals by \$417 million between 1994 and 1996.

One of the most revealing aspects of the study was that the data indicated there were no significant differences between SNF and inpatient hospital diagnosis patterns with regards to respiratory therapy services. The top 100 diagnoses accounted for 98.6% of all respiratory services, the top ten accounted for about one-half, and the top five accounted for one-third in both settings. Nor were any differences found between SNF and inpatient hospital diagnosis patterns for intense users of respiratory therapy services.

Beneficiaries with paid claims of more than \$1,000 comprised 18% of all Medicare beneficiaries who received respiratory therapy services and accounted for 74% of all Medicare expenditures for respiratory therapy services, again, in both inpatient hospital and SNF settings.

These data effectively confirm what

the respiratory community has long suspected: the SNF respiratory patient today are the acute care hospital respiratory patients of yesterday. Patients leaving the hospital sicker and quite often landing in a SNF or rehabilitation facility in a much more acute condition than ever before. To deny them the same level of quality care afforded in the acute care hospital—i.e., respiratory services delivered by trained, educated, and certified respiratory therapists—would amount to discrimination.

The AARC has submitted comments to HCFA urging the agency to revisit the PPS issue and to consider carefully the data from this study. For more details about the significant findings of this research visit the government affairs section of the AARC website (www.aarc.org). ■

AARC Online brings you the latest in respiratory care news and information.

Visit us on the Internet—

<http://www.aarc.org>

The AARC recently got a boost in its efforts to support the use of respiratory therapists in SNFs with letters of support sent to the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) by three prominent physicians.

Drs. George Burton, Neil MacIntyre, and Tom Petty wrote the letters at AARC request to assist the Association in getting expert opinion to HCFA about the need to have RTs involved in respiratory patient care in this setting. Full-text version of the letters are available on AARC Online (www.aarc.org) in the Professional Resources area, but here are a few pertinent excerpts for your perusal:

"My concerns about the proposed (PPS) regulation are two-fold. First, I am

concerned that the MDS-II, which is the engine that drives the RUGs-III groupers, is badly flawed in that it gives short shrift to the complex needs of patients suffering from heart and lung diseases. Secondly, I believe that financial pressures brought about by the PPS will encourage the practice of respiratory therapy by individuals with little or no competence to do." – Dr. George Burton

"The properly trained RT is uniquely suited for this role. Their educational process focuses on cardiorespiratory physiology and the management of cardiorespiratory diseases. They alone among the allied health professions have the necessary skills to both assess patients with respiratory conditions and

then apply appropriate therapies range from inhalational treatment use of life support systems." – MacIntyre

"The commonplace on-the-jobing of otherwise qualified health professionals is simply inadequate with the details and complexities of respiratory therapy, including such as mechanical ventilators, pulmonary function testing equipment and the use of potent pharmacological agents which are ordered by physicians. Thus, I urge that "training" be specific to formalizing, pursuant to certification of training in respiratory therapy, and a state licensure." – Dr. Tom Petty

FSRC Takes Proactive Approach to SNF PPS in Florida

There's been a lot of hand-wringing over the fate of respiratory therapy under PPS. The AARC has taken an active stance on the issue and continues to work toward a viable solution with the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) and other national groups and organizations. But there is much that can be done on the state and local levels as well. The Florida Society for Respiratory Care (FSRC) is a case in point. Last August, the FSRC sent a letter to more than 600 nursing home administrators in an effort to educate decision makers about the value and necessity of respiratory therapists in this setting.

The letter, signed by FSRC President David Rappa, BS, RRT, provides nursing home administrators with specific information about the utilization of respiratory therapists in their facilities. In a press release announcing this proactive step, Rappa said he felt it was important to point out to administrators that respiratory therapists, because of their extensive education, training, and versatility in the health care profession, can actually be the least expensive licensed therapy service in a facility.

The FSRC document lists 16 specific modalities in which respiratory therapy can be utilized effectively and efficiently in SNFs:

1. Provide cost containment services by reviewing monthly use of equipment and modalities.
2. Provide blanket services throughout the facility to all residents at a fixed cost regardless of payer source.
3. Create therapy-driven protocols to provide the most prudent, cost-effective care.
4. Market a Cardiopulmonary Intervention Program at the facility to area discharge planners and pulmonary specialists to recruit residents.
5. Police local medical review policies (LMRPs) from intermediaries to assure proper support in documentation, to assure claim payment, and to locate alternate areas of reimbursement.
6. Utilization of an outcome data base tool to market to HMO's and PPO's for coverage of services.
7. Maintain a Quality Program that attracts physicians and customers.
8. Support MDS nurse with resident assessment.
9. Relieve nursing staff of all respiratory therapy duties.
10. Assist with JCAHO and state survey support preparation and compliance.
11. Provide in-services, education, and patient-care training modules.
12. Comply with state laws for delivery of respiratory therapy.
13. Gain a marketing edge on competitors.
14. Utilize respiratory therapists to initiate treatment to minimize liability.
15. Process documentation structured to assure claim payment.
16. Assure compliance with CDC Infection Control Guidelines.

The letter also outlines several factors that will, and should, play a role in any facility's decision on whether or not to

utilize respiratory therapists under PPS.

- **Four of top ten diagnoses are respiratory diagnoses:** Based on HCFA charge percentages for 1997, four of the top ten diagnoses being discharged from SNFs were respiratory diagnoses: pneumonia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart disease, and aspiration/suctioning. Three of the PPS groups include respiratory services, and three of the four classification groups of the Prospective Payment System – medically complex, intermediate, and special care – include utilization of respiratory services.
- **Utilization of services/quality is crucial:** Recognizing the "true treat" based on service utilization becomes paramount. Residing in a facility with high utilization of services should be treated cost-effectively and moved toward lower utilization of services to avoid high cost of care after the period ends. Conversely, any service is excessive in duration, frequency, or modality will generate excessive costs for the facility. Care for all residents, therefore, must be prudent in nature, coming from the non-skilled caregiver.
- **Avoiding loss of residents through regression/readmission:** To reduce the needs associated with this new environment, therapy services should provide blanket services for residents, regardless of payer source, to avoid residents from regression or avoidance of admission that may result in readmission.

"FSRC" continued on page 10

penalties. Since respiratory residents are the most expensive patients to treat in the hospital setting and represent a large percentage of residents discharged to SNFs, many HMOs and PPOs are now offering specific coverage for respiratory therapy services in SNFs or home health to limit readmission. In addition, nursing facilities are now directly accountable for ensuring that

and necessary (per HCFA's interim final rule released May 12, 1998). If care is not found to be prudent, the FIs may retain payment up to 20% of claims submitted.

- **Capturing reimbursement from many payers:** Our government, via these changes and the advent of Medicare +Choice, is capitating care costs to treat the aging population and creating a shift of all residents to alter-

future will be based on their control costs and capture payment from as many payers as possible. Note also that Medicare criteria coverage remains unchanged (HC 12, Section 230) – i.e., whoever is most qualified to deliver the service should be providing the service eligible for coverage. ■

HCFA Works on MDS for Post Acute Care

One of the primary concerns that subacute care providers have had about the government's new prospective payment system is the fact that the Minimum Data Set (MDS) resident assessment instrument (RAI) utilized to categorize patients into reimbursement levels does not adequately account for the medically intense services provided to their patients. If a new government initiative has its way, however, these concerns may be short-lived. Last summer, the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) began developing a new RAI called the "Minimum Data Set for Post-Acute Care" (MDS-PAC) that promises to better address the needs of subacute providers.

According to HCFA, the main objectives of the pilot project, which is being

conducted under contract with the Hebrew Rehabilitation Center for the Aged (HRCA) in Boston, MA, are to develop an MDS-based module consisting of core screening and assessment items, to revise existing Resident Assessment Protocols (RAPs) to include content appropriate for this population, and to develop RAPs that address new clinical problems, if warranted.

Says HCFA project officer, Sue Nonemaker, RN, MS, "We are trying to be responsive to changes in the population cared for in facilities certified under the long-term care requirements, and to give clinicians an instrument that better meets their needs in assessing this population."

She says the federal agency decided to embark on the project to address the con-

cerns of clinicians caring for seniors who, for years, have been unable to be waived from RAI requirements. "The burden of conducting an assessment won't be waived through use of the MDS-PAC, but then clinicians have previously agreed that an assessment is necessary. They just want it to be relevant to their patients' needs." She feels the MDS-PAC will achieve that goal. "Who knows? We are currently implementing a SNF pilot program based on the MDS version and anticipate that eventually the SNF will transition to use of the MDS-PAC."

HCFA estimates that the project will take 18 months to complete, and expects to have a version of the MDS-PAC sometime in early 1999. ■

Specialty Practitioner of the Year: Joseph Bernardo, RRT, RPFT, NHA

Joseph Bernardo, RRT, RPFT, NHA, has made a tremendous impact on the provision of respiratory therapy services in skilled nursing facilities in his Fort Lauderdale, FL community over the past year. While many other therapists were forecasting doom and gloom resulting from the government's new prospective payment system, Joe went on the offensive, first by improving his own knowledge of the skilled nursing industry by going back to school to obtain his license in nursing home administration, then tak-

ing that knowledge and applying it to respiratory therapy services.

Despite PPS, he was able to obtain three contracts with area subacute care facilities, convincing them that respiratory therapy should remain an integral part of their operations. The results speak for themselves: length of stay on respiratory therapy services at these facilities has been cut in half compared to other facilities, while positive patient outcomes have been maintained. Productivity has risen from 70% to 80% or better.

Since approval for additional FTEs has been hard to come by since PPS was announced, Joe initially achieved outstanding objectives with a skeletonized staff. The success seen at the three facilities, however, recently led to approval for additional FTEs. Now word of the positive outcomes is spreading throughout the community as well, and a neighboring nursing home has expressed interest in starting the service.

Congratulations, Joe, on being named our Specialty Practitioner of the Year!

New Product Suggestions

As you know, new product development is an important component of the services that any association provides its members. But where do these new products originate?

Quite often they originate with you. You and your staff encounter problems and needs everyday. Perhaps you require an educational product on a procedure or disease. Or maybe you need a manual to

help you manage certain components of your department.

Tell us what products or services the AARC can develop that will help you perform your job. We will research your suggestion, and if it is viable, produce it and make it available to the profession.

Please provide the following information when submitting your product or service suggestion:

- Brief description of the product
- Describe who will use this product
- Tell why you believe potential users would buy this product
- List your name, member number, and specialty section/committee

Send this information to: Products, AARC, 11030 Able Lane, Dallas, TX 75229; email: info@info@aarc.org; FAX: (972) 484-2720. ■